## **Searches for**

# Quantum Gravity Signals using Gamma-Ray Bursts

#### **Jonathan Granot**

Open University of Israel

on behalf of the Fermi LAT & GBM Collaborations

LOOPS13, Perimeter Institute, July 23, 2013

## **Outline of the Talk:**

Focus on vacuum energy dispersion (a form of LIV) ■ Why do we use GRBs & how do we set the limits ■ Limit from the bright long GRB 080916C at z~4.35 3 different types of limits from the short bright GRB 090510 at z = 0.903: detailed description & results Summary of limits on LIV using Fermi LAT GRBs Future prospects: the Cherenkov Telescope Array Conclusions

Vacuum energy dispersion: parameterization
Some quantum-gravity (QG) models allow or even predict (e.g. Ellis et al. 2008) Lorentz invariance violation (LIV)
We directly constrain a simple form of LIV - dependence of the speed of light on the photon energy: v<sub>ph</sub>(E<sub>ph</sub>) ≠ c
This may be parameterized through a Taylor expansion of the LIV terms in the dispersion relation:

$$c^2 p_{ph}^2 = E_{ph}^2 \left[ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k \left( \frac{E_{ph}}{E_{QG,k}} \right)^k \right]$$
, where  $E_{QG,k} \le E_{Planck}$  is naturally expected

s<sub>k</sub> = −1, 0, 1 stresses the model dependent sign of the effect
 The most natural scale for LIV is the Planck scale
 l<sub>Planck</sub> ≈ 1.62×10<sup>-33</sup> cm ; E<sub>Planck</sub> = M<sub>Planck</sub>c<sup>2</sup> ≈ 1.22×10<sup>19</sup> GeV

Vacuum energy dispersion: parameterization
The photon propagation speed is given by the group velocity:

$$e^{2}p_{ph}^{2} = E_{ph}^{2} \left[ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_{k} \left( \frac{E_{ph}}{E_{QG,k}} \right)^{k} \right] \quad , \quad v_{ph} = \frac{\partial E_{ph}}{\partial p_{ph}} \approx c \left[ 1 - S_{n} \frac{(1+n)}{2} \left( \frac{E_{ph}}{E_{QG,n}} \right)^{n} \right]$$

Since E<sub>ph</sub> ≪ E<sub>QG,k</sub> ≤ E<sub>planck</sub> ~ 10<sup>19</sup> GeV the lowest order non-zero term, of order n = min{k | s<sub>k</sub> ≠ 0}, dominates
Usually n = 1 (linear) or 2 (quadratic) are considered
We focus here on n = 1, since only in this case are our limits of the order of the Planck scale
We try to constrain both possible signs of the effect:

◆ s<sub>n</sub> = 1, v<sub>ph</sub> < c: higher energy photons propagate slower</li>
 ◆ s<sub>n</sub> = -1, v<sub>ph</sub> > c: higher energy photons propagate faster
 ■ We stress: here c = v<sub>ph</sub>(E<sub>ph</sub> → 0) is the low energy limit of v<sub>ph</sub>

#### **Probing Vacuum dispersion Using GRBs** (first suggested by Amelino-Camelia et al. 1998)

Why GRBs? Very bright & short<br/>transient events, at cosmological<br/>distances, emit high-energy γ-rays(D. Pile, Nature Photonics, 2010)

vanninn

### **Constraining LIV Using GRBs**

A high-energy photon E<sub>h</sub> would arrive after (in the sub-luminal case: v<sub>ph</sub> < c, s<sub>n</sub> = 1), or possibly before (in the super-luminal case, v<sub>ph</sub> > c, s<sub>n</sub> = -1) a low-energy photon E<sub>l</sub> emitted together

The time delay in the arrival of the high-energy photon is:

$$\Delta t_{\text{LIV}} = S_n \frac{(1+n)}{2H_0} \frac{E_h^n - E_l^n}{E_{\text{QG,n}}^n} \int_0^z \frac{(1+z')^n}{\sqrt{\Omega_m (1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} dz'$$
(Jacob & Piran 2008)

The photons E<sub>h</sub> & E<sub>l</sub> do not have to be emitted at exactly the same time & place in the source, but we must be able to limit the difference in their effective emission times, i.e. in their arrival times to an observer near the GRB along our L.O.S



#### **Constraining LIV Using GRBs**

A high-energy photon E<sub>h</sub> would arrive after (in the sub-luminal case: v<sub>ph</sub> < c, s<sub>n</sub> = 1), or possibly before (in the super-luminal case, v<sub>ph</sub> > c, s<sub>n</sub> = -1) a low-energy photon E<sub>l</sub> emitted together

The time delay in the arrival of the high-energy photon is:

$$\Delta t_{\text{LIV}} = S_n \frac{(1+n)}{2H_0} \frac{E_h^n - E_l^n}{E_{\text{QG,n}}^n} \int_0^z \frac{(1+z')^n}{\sqrt{\Omega_m (1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} dz'$$
(Jacob & Piran 2008)

The photons E<sub>h</sub> & E<sub>l</sub> do not have to be emitted at exactly the same time & place in the source, but we must be able to limit the difference in their effective emission times, i.e. in their arrival times to an observer near the GRB along our L.O.S

• Our limits apply to any source of energy dispersion on the way from the source to us, and may constrain some (even more) exotic physics ( $\Delta t_{LIV} \rightarrow \Delta t_{LIV} + \Delta t_{exotic}$ )

#### Method 1

Limits only s<sub>n</sub> = 1 - the sub-luminal case: v<sub>ph</sub> < c, & positive time delay, Δt<sub>LIV</sub> = t<sub>h</sub> - t<sub>em</sub> > 0 (here t<sub>h</sub> is the actual measured arrival time, while t<sub>em</sub> would be the arrival time if v<sub>ph</sub> = c)
 We consider a single high-energy photon of energy E<sub>h</sub> and assume that it was emitted after the onset time (t<sub>start</sub>) of the relevant low-energy (E<sub>1</sub>) emission episode: t<sub>em</sub> > t<sub>start</sub>



#### Method 1

■ Limits only  $s_n = 1$  - the sub-luminal case:  $v_{ph} < c$ , & positive time delay,  $\Delta t_{LIV} = t_h - t_{em} > 0$  (here  $t_h$  is the actual measured arrival time, while  $t_{em}$  would be the arrival time if  $v_{ph} = c$ )

• We consider a single high-energy photon of energy  $E_h$  and assume that it was emitted after the onset time  $(t_{start})$  of the relevant low-energy  $(E_l)$  emission episode:  $t_{em} > t_{start}$ 

A conservative assumption: t<sub>start</sub> = the onset of any observed emission from the GRB

### Limits on LIV: GRB080916C ( $z \approx 4.35$ )

**GRB080916C:** highest energy photon (13 GeV) arrived 16.5 s after lowenergy photons started arriving (=the GRB trigger) conservative lower limit:  $E_{OG,1} > 1.3 \times 10^{18} \text{ GeV}$  $\approx 0.11 E_{\text{Planck}}$ 

This improved upon the previous limits of this type, reaching 11% of E<sub>Planck</sub>

AGN

(Biller 98)

GRB

Pulsar

1015

(Kaaret 99) (Ellis 06)

 $1.8 \times 10^{15} 0.9 \times 10^{16} 10^{16}$ 



GRB090510: L.I.V ■ A short GRB (duration ~1 s) Redshift:  $z = 0.903 \pm 0.003$ ■ A ~31 GeV photon arrived at  $t_{\rm h} = 0.829$  s after the trigger We carefully verified it is a photon; from the GRB at  $>5\sigma$ We use the  $1-\sigma$  lower bounds on the measured values of  $E_{h}$ (28 GeV) and z (0.900)Intrinsic spectral lags known on timescale of individual pulses: weak effect expected



GRB090510: L.I.V

Method 1: different choices of t<sub>start</sub> from the most conservative to the least conservative

 $t_{start} = -0.03 \text{ s precursor onset}$ →  $\xi_1 = E_{OG,1}/E_{Planck} > 1.19$  $t_{start} = 0.53$  s onset of main emission episode  $\rightarrow \xi_1 > 3.42$ For any reasonable emission spectrum a ~31 GeV photon is accompanied by many  $\gamma$ 's above<sup>§</sup> 0.1 or 1 GeV that "mark" its t<sub>em</sub>  $t_{start} = 0.63 \text{ s}, 0.73 \text{ s} \text{ onset of}$ emission above 0.1, 1 GeV  $\rightarrow \xi_1 > 5.12, \xi_1 > 10.0$ 



# GRB090510: L.I.V

Method 2: least conservative Associating a high energy photon with a sharp spike in the low energy lightcurve, which it falls on top of Limits both signs:  $s_n = \pm 1$ Non-negligible chance probability (~5-10%), but still provides useful information For a 0.75 GeV photon during precursor:  $|\Delta t| < 19 \text{ ms}, \xi_1 > 1.33$ ■ For the 31 GeV photon (*shaded* vertical region)  $\rightarrow |\Delta t| < 10 \text{ ms}$ and  $\xi_1 = E_{OG,1}/E_{Planck} > 102$ 



#### Method 3: DisCan (Scargle et al. 2008)

- Based on lack of smearing of the fine time structure (sharp narrow spikes in the lightcurve) due to energy dispersion
- Constrains both possible signs of the effect:  $s_n = \pm 1$
- Uses all LAT photons during the brightest emission episode (obs. range 35 MeV – 31 GeV); no binning in time or energy
- Shifts the arrival time of photons according to a trail energy dispersion (linear in our case), finding the coefficient that maximizes a measure of the resulting lightcurve variability
- We found a symmetric upper limit on a linear dispersion:  $|\Delta t/\Delta E| < 30 \text{ ms/GeV} (99\% \text{ CL}) \Rightarrow E_{QG,1} > 1.22 E_{Planck}$
- Remains unchanged when using only photons < 1 or 3 GeV (a very robust limit)

# Limits on LIV from Fermi GRBs

GRB	duration or class	# of events > 0.1 GeV	# of events > 1 GeV	method	Lower Limit on M <sub>QG,1</sub> /M <sub>Planck</sub>	Valid for S <sub>n</sub> =	Highest photon Energy	redshift
080916C	long	145	14	1	0.11	+1	~ 13 GeV	~ 4.35
				1	1.2, 3.4, 5.1, 10	+1		
090510	short	> 150	> 20	2	102	±1	~ 31 GeV	0.903
				3	1.2	±1		
090902B	long	> 200	> 30	1	0.068	+1	~ 33 GeV	1.822
090926	long	> 150	> 50	1,3	0.066, 0.082	+1	~ 20 GeV	2.1062

Method 1: assuming a high-energy photon is not emitted before the onset of the relevant low-energy emission episode
 Method 2: associating a high-energy photon with a spike in the low-energy light-curve that it coincides with
 Method 3: DisCan (dispersion cancelation; very robust) – lack of smearing of narrow spikes in high-energy light-curve

#### **Future: Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA) Energy range: ~20 GeV to ~300 TeV**

- ◆ an order of magnitude more sensitive than current instruments around 1 TeV (~150M€ price tag), better angular/energy resolution
- $\diamond$  >1000 members in 27 countries
- ♦ Should become operational around ~2018
- 2 sites (southern + northern hemispheres)
- Hundreds of telescopes of 3 different sizes

## A bigger difference for transient sources



**Prospects for LIV studies with CTA GRBs** Method 1: it may be difficult to do much better • Our current limit  $|\Delta t/\Delta E| < 30 \text{ ms/GeV}$  would require  $E_h > 1$  TeV for a response time of 30 s  $\diamond$  at > 1 TeV intrinsically fewer photons + EBL Method 3: might work best 7RB090926A Sharp bright spikes up to high energies exist also 1000 500 well within long GRBs  $\bullet t_{var} \sim 0.1 \text{ s \& } E_h \sim 0.1 \text{ TeV}$ Counts/Bin could do ~30 times better A short GRB in CTA FoV Counts/Bin (survey mode) would be great **10 ms, 1 TeV: >10<sup>3</sup> times better** 

# **Conclusions:**

**GRBs** are very useful for constraining LIV Bright short GRBs are more useful than long ones A very robust and conservative limit on a linear energy dispersion of either sign:  $E_{OG,1} > 1.2E_{Planck}$ Still conservative but somewhat less robust limits:  $E_{QG,1}/E_{Planck} > 5.1, 10$  (onset of emission >0.1, 1 GeV) "Intuition builder" liberal limit: E<sub>QG,1</sub> / E<sub>planck</sub> > 102 Quantum-Gravity Models with linear (n = 1)photon energy dispersion are disfavored